





DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. USHA UPADHYAYULA 6060 09/105,844 06/26/1998 INTL-0055(P5 **EXAMINER** 7590 03/04/2004 TIMOTHY N TROP ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J TROP PRUNER & HU ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 8554 KATY FREEWAY STE 100 2171 HOUSTON, TX 77024 26

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	PRG
W.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/105,844	UPADHYAYULA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Haythim J. Alaubaidi	2171
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a the character in the character
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T the date on which the petition under 37 CF d of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceNOTE:	eling a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been cons See Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>43-60</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10. Other:	1	
	CAFET	METJAHIC
	SUPERVISORY F	PATENT EXAMINER Y CENTER 2100



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the issues raised were covered in the Final Action mailed January 30, 2004.

Continuation of 7. Explanation on the reason for entering the after final of February 20, 2004...for purposes of appeal, the after final amendment was entered because the Applicant had over came the first and second paragraphs of the 112 rejection in regard to deleting the word "automatic".